

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/616,546	07/10/2003	Kadir A. Peker	MERL-1468	2803
75 Patent Departmen	590 12/18/2006 nt		EXAM	INER
Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc. 201 Broadway Cambridge, MA 02139			WONG, ALLEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•		2621		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	THS	12/18/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	-Applicant(s)				
	10/616,546	PEKER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· ·			
• '	Allen Wong	2621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply		`				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second status of the second status of the second s	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commu  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			, ,			
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ This	action is non-final.		/ ,			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• · · ·				
· ·	er e geroù a lesg					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			,			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.	er i jakon karantaria. Tanggaran		-			
	and with a think of the state of	nth an				
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to:  8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o		,				
are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.					
Application Papers		:1				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		7			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 July 2003 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)  obje	ected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			/ · · · · ·			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	<b>52</b>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		······································				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign		The second secon				
	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			/			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>						
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> </ol>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	n received in this National Stac	je			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received	•			
obs the diddied detailed office action for a list	or the certified copies no	it received.	A Section of the sect			
•			• , • • •			
	•	1				
Attachment(s)	by .		/·			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	_	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/03, 8/4/03, 10/14/04.	6) 🔲 Other: _		•			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/616,546 Page 2/

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Claim 5 cannot depend on itself, and thus, applicant needs to choose what claim 5 depends on.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5 cannot depend on itself. Thus, claim 5 is improper and indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

esta tirre i kiimir, giri

Application/Control Number: 10/616,546

Art Unit: 2621

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Meng ("Scene Changes Detection in a MPEG Compressed Video Sequence" Proceedings of the SPIE, vol.2419, pg.14-25) in view of Rajagopalan (6,181,742).

Regarding claim 1, Meng discloses a method for playing frames of a video adaptively, comprising:

measuring a spatial frequency of pixel within frames of the video (see section 4.1.1 and fig.7, Meng discloses DCT coefficients are obtained to measure spatial frequency within frames);

measuring a temporal velocity of corresponding pixels between frames of the video (see section 4.1.1 and fig.7, Meng discloses motion vectors are obtained that measures the temporal activity of corresponding pixels between group of frames);

multiplying the spatial frequency by the temporal velocity to obtain a measure of visual complexity of the frames of the video (see section 5.2.1, formula 5 and fig.7, Meng discloses the temporal velocity that is represented by motion vectors comprising dimensions [x, y] in that the motion vectors are multiplied by the spatial frequency indicated by DCT coefficients b0, b1, b2 and b3, and the multiplied result is used to detect scene changes in the group of frames);

playing the frames of the video (section 9 discloses the frames of the video).

Meng does not specifically disclose playing the frames of the video at a speed that corresponds to the visual complexity. However, Rajagopalan teaches playing the frames of the video at a speed that corresponds to the visual complexity (col.10, ln.19-

Application/Control Number: 10/616,546

Art Unit: 2621

47; Rajagopalan discloses that the instantaneous coding rate R<sub>I</sub> includes video complexity and that the instantaneous coding rate is the rate used for encoding and decoding video image data in that the complexities of I, P and B frames from a group of frames are taken into account to ascertain an optimal decoding and display rate of the video images). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Meng and Rajagopalan, as a whole, for accurately, efficiently encoding and decoding video image data in a high quality, optimal manner (Rajagopalan col.3, In.19-24).

Note claims 10-19 have similar corresponding elements.

Regarding claim 2, Meng discloses wherein the video is compressed (section 4.1.1).

Regarding claim 3, Meng discloses wherein the spatial frequency is measured from discrete cosine transform coefficients of the pixels in the frames (see section 4.1.1 and fig.7, Meng discloses DCT coefficients are obtained to measure spatial frequency within frames), and the temporal velocity is measured from motion vectors of corresponding pixels between the frames (see section 4.1.1 and fig.7, Meng discloses motion vectors are obtained that measures the temporal activity of corresponding pixels between group of frames).

Regarding claims 4-7, Meng discloses the basic equation for DCT (see section 4.1.1 and fig.7, Meng discloses DCT coefficients are obtained to measure spatial frequency within frames).

and the second transport for the first of

and the state of the second execution is the second execution.

and the second state of the contraction of

Application/Control Number: 10/616,546

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claims 8-9, Meng discloses measuring motion vectors and data from I frames and P frames (see section 4.1.1 and fig.7, Meng discloses motion vectors are obtained that measures the temporal activity of corresponding pixels between group of frames, and note I frame and P frame data are obtained).

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

dan terreta application of the Care and the

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 2621

AW 12/11/06